

## EVIDENCE-BASED POLICY FRAMEWORK FOR STRATEGIC CALCULATOR INTEGRATION IN NIGERIAN SECONDARY MATHEMATICS

**Dr. Mariagoretti Ijeoma Obiakor**

Department of Educational Management and Policy  
Faculty of Education  
Nnamdi Azikiwe University,

&

**Chukwudumebi Oscar Okwujiaku**

Graduate Student Enugu State University of Science and Technology,  
Agbani

**Email:** [oscarchukwudumebi@gmail.com](mailto:oscarchukwudumebi@gmail.com)

### Abstract

This study identifies and evaluates pedagogical and policy interventions to mitigate calculator dependency among secondary mathematics students in Nigeria. Building on research findings that demonstrate the negative impact of calculator abuse on teaching quality and student performance, this paper investigates teacher-endorsed measures for strategic calculator integration. Through questionnaire data from ten experienced mathematics teachers in Enugu South private secondary schools, we identify eight consensus-based interventions with strong agreement (overall mean = 3.53/4.00). Key recommendations include: delaying calculator introduction until senior secondary, developing whole-school calculator policies, restricting calculator use to specific topics, providing explicit student training on calculator functions, standardizing calculator models within schools, and incorporating calculator education into the formal curriculum. Thematic analysis of teacher comments reveals three implementation principles: foundational mastery must precede technology integration, policy coherence across educational levels is essential, and teacher professional development on technology integration is urgently needed. We propose a tiered intervention framework that addresses policy, curriculum, and training dimensions simultaneously. This framework offers practical guidance for educational stakeholders seeking to transform calculators from cognitive crutches into strategic learning tools while preserving foundational mathematical competence in resource-constrained contexts.

**Keywords:** calculator integration, educational interventions, pedagogical policy, teacher training, curriculum design, strategic technology use, Nigeria, secondary mathematics

## 1. Introduction

The integration of electronic calculators into Nigerian secondary mathematics classrooms has followed a paradoxical trajectory: adopted with intentions of enhancing learning efficiency, calculators have often become instruments of dependency that compromise foundational skill development (Okwujiaku, 2022). Empirical research consistently documents concerning patterns of calculator abuse, including indiscriminate use for basic arithmetic, erosion of mental computation skills, and superficial conceptual understanding masked by technological assistance (Mashekwa, 2021; Sunday, 2020). These findings establish a clear need for intervention—a need this study addresses directly.

### 1.1 From Problem Identification to Solution Development

Understanding the negative consequences of calculator abuse represents only the diagnostic phase of educational improvement. The crucial next step—prescribing evidence-based interventions—remains largely unexplored in Nigerian mathematics education research. While international literature offers general principles for technology integration (Mishra & Koehler, 2006; NCTM, 2015), context-specific implementation strategies are needed for Nigerian schools, where resource constraints, examination pressure, and diverse student backgrounds create unique challenges.

This study bridges the gap between problem identification and solution development by asking: What specific, actionable measures can curb calculator abuse while preserving technology's legitimate benefits? By foregrounding teacher expertise—the practitioners who must implement any intervention—we develop recommendations grounded in classroom realities rather than theoretical ideals.

### 1.2 Theoretical Framework: TPACK and Strategic Integration

This paper employs Mishra and Koehler's (2006) Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) framework as its theoretical foundation. TPACK posits that effective technology integration requires teachers to navigate the intersection of three knowledge domains:

- **Technological Knowledge (TK):** Understanding how to operate calculators and their functions
- **Pedagogical Knowledge (PK):** Knowing teaching strategies that leverage technology appropriately
- **Content Knowledge (CK):** Mastering mathematics concepts and their developmental progression

When calculator integration fails, it often represents a TPACK deficiency: teachers may have technological knowledge (how to use calculators) but lack pedagogical knowledge of when and why to use them for specific mathematical content. Effective interventions must therefore address all three knowledge domains simultaneously.

### 1.3 Research Question and Significance

This paper addresses the research question: *What are the possible measures to curb the abuse of the use of calculators in the teaching and learning of mathematics in private secondary schools in Enugu South?*

The significance of this research extends beyond identifying interventions to understanding their perceived feasibility and priority among practising educators. By synthesising teacher wisdom with international best practices, we develop a contextually appropriate intervention framework that can guide:

- **School administrators** developing institutional technology policies
- **Curriculum planners** designing mathematics syllabi and assessment protocols
- **Teacher educators** preparing pre-service and in-service teachers for technology integration
- **Education ministries** setting standards for technology use across school systems

## 2. Literature Review

### 2.1 International Models of Calculator Integration: What Works?

Successful calculator integration in high-performing educational systems shares common characteristics that Nigerian interventions might adapt:

**Delayed Introduction Model (East Asia):** In Singapore, Japan, and South Korea, calculators are typically introduced only after students demonstrate mastery of foundational arithmetic (typically in secondary grades). This "mastery-first" approach ensures that technology enhances rather than replaces basic skill development (Maxwell, 2016). Research from these contexts shows that delayed introduction correlates with both strong computational fluency and sophisticated use of technology for complex problem-solving.

**Strategic Integration Model (Finland, Canada):** These systems employ calculator use that is topic-specific and pedagogically intentional. Calculators are prohibited for foundational skill practice but required for certain advanced topics where computational complexity might obscure

conceptual learning (NCTM, 2015). Finnish mathematics curricula explicitly designate which problems should be solved with technology versus without, creating clear boundaries that guide both teaching and assessment.

**Explicit Training Model (Australia, United Kingdom):** These countries incorporate calculator education directly into mathematics curricula. Students learn not only how to use calculators but also when and why—developing metacognitive awareness of technology's appropriate role (Australian Curriculum, Assessment and Reporting Authority, 2019). This approach treats calculator proficiency as a mathematical skill in its own right, requiring explicit instruction and assessment.

## 2.2 Policy Interventions: Creating Coherent Systems

Research on educational technology implementation consistently emphasises the importance of coherent policy frameworks. Bain (2015) found that schools with clear calculator policies showed more strategic student use and fewer dependency issues. Effective policies typically include:

- **Grade-level specifications:** Which grades may use calculators, and for what purposes
- **Content-area guidelines:** Which mathematical topics permit calculator use
- **Assessment protocols:** How calculator and non-calculator assessments are balanced
- **Teacher support provisions:** What training and resources teachers receive

Without such policies, calculator use becomes idiosyncratic—varying by teacher, creating student confusion, and undermining learning consistency across classrooms.

## 2.3 Pedagogical Interventions: Teacher Training and Classroom Strategies

Teacher capacity represents the critical implementation variable in any educational intervention. Nguyen Van Hung (2018) documented that Vietnamese mathematics teachers who received targeted calculator pedagogy training were significantly more effective at integrating technology than untrained colleagues. Effective training programs typically include:

- **Technical proficiency:** How to use specific calculator functions relevant to the curriculum
- **Pedagogical strategies:** When and why to use calculators for different learning objectives
- **Classroom management:** How to monitor and guide student calculator use
- **Assessment design:** How to create tasks that distinguish calculator-enabled from independent performance

Kwesi-Amanyi et al. (2016) found that Ghanaian teachers who participated in calculator integration workshops reported greater confidence and more varied instructional approaches, suggesting that even limited training can yield significant benefits.

## 2.4 Curriculum Interventions: Structural Solutions

Structural interventions at the curriculum level can shape calculator use systematically:

**Sequencing Content:** Arranging mathematical topics so that foundational skills are taught and assessed before calculator introduction ensures mastery precedes technology use (Sunday, 2020).

**Incorporating Calculator Education:** Some curricula (e.g., Singapore's) include specific "calculator literacy" modules that teach appropriate use as part of mathematical competence (Singapore Ministry of Education, 2020).

**Assessment Design:** Creating separate calculator and non-calculator assessment components signals to students and teachers that both skills matter (Ellington, 2003).

## 2.5 Resource Interventions: Standardisation and Equity

The proliferation of different calculator models in classrooms creates implementation challenges. Teachers cannot master all models, and students may lack access to devices with necessary functions. Standardisation initiatives—whether at school, district, or national levels—address these issues while also promoting equity (Mashekwa, 2021). Some jurisdictions provide standardised calculators to all students, ensuring equal access to technology while simplifying instruction.

## 2.6 Contextual Challenges in Nigeria

Nigerian mathematics education faces unique implementation challenges: large class sizes, limited instructional time, high-stakes examination pressure, and significant resource disparities between schools. Sunday Orji (2020) noted that many Nigerian teachers have received no formal calculator training, leading to ad hoc implementation. This study builds on that finding by exploring what interventions teachers themselves view as feasible and effective within these constraints.

## 3. Methodology

### 3.1 Research Design and Rationale

This study employed a convergent mixed-methods design, collecting quantitative questionnaire data on teacher perceptions of potential interventions alongside qualitative comments providing

context and rationale. The design recognises that effective interventions require both statistical evidence of consensus and nuanced understanding of implementation realities.

### 3.2 Participants

The study involved ten mathematics teachers from five private secondary schools in Enugu South. Participant selection prioritised teaching experience as a proxy for pedagogical expertise. The sample included:

- 2 teachers (20%) with less than 5 years experience
- 3 teachers (30%) with 6-10 years experience
- 3 teachers (30%) with 11-15 years experience
- 2 teachers (20%) with 16-20 years experience

This experience distribution ensures that recommendations are informed by substantial classroom practice across career stages.

### 3.3 Instrument: Intervention Assessment Questionnaire

The researcher-developed questionnaire presented eight potential interventions derived from literature review and preliminary teacher interviews. For each intervention, teachers indicated their agreement using a 4-point Likert scale:

- Strongly Agree (SA) = 4
- Agree (A) = 3
- Disagree (D) = 2
- Strongly Disagree (SD) = 1

The eight interventions assessed were:

1. **Delayed Introduction:** Prohibit calculators in junior secondary, introduce in senior secondary
2. **Policy Development:** Create whole-school calculator use policies
3. **Strategic Restriction:** Allow calculators only for specific topics
4. **Lesson Design:** Prepare lessons that minimize calculator dependency

5. **Student Training:** Train students in effective calculator use
6. **Standardization:** Encourage uniform calculator models within schools
7. **Curriculum Integration:** Include calculator topics in senior mathematics syllabus
8. **Traditional Methods Emphasis:** Encourage paper-and-pencil problem-solving

After each rating, teachers could provide optional written comments explaining their responses.

### 3.4 Data Collection and Analysis

Questionnaires were administered in person during school visits, with 100% completion rate. Quantitative data were analysed using descriptive statistics (means, standard deviations), with a decision threshold of mean  $\geq 2.50$  indicating consensus acceptance. Qualitative comments were transcribed and analysed thematically using inductive coding to identify recurrent themes and implementation concerns.

## 4. Results

### 4.1 Quantitative Findings: Strong Consensus on Multiple Interventions

Teachers demonstrated strong agreement with all eight proposed interventions, with an overall mean of 3.53 (SD = 0.43). Table 1 presents detailed results.

**Table 1: Teacher Agreement with Proposed Interventions (N=10)**

Intervention	Mean	SD	Interpretation
1. Delayed Introduction	3.77	0.43	Strongly Agree
2. Policy Development	3.17	0.56	Agree
3. Strategic Restriction	3.33	0.56	Agree
4. Lesson Design	3.75	0.43	Strongly Agree
5. Student Training	3.72	0.48	Strongly Agree
6. Standardisation	3.56	0.52	Agree-Strongly Agree
7. Curriculum Integration	3.40	0.56	Agree
8. Traditional Methods	3.51	0.52	Agree-Strongly Agree

*Note: All means exceed the 2.50 acceptance threshold, indicating consensus support.*

#### **4.2 Intervention Prioritisation and Patterns**

Three interventions received particularly strong support (mean > 3.70):

1. **Delayed Introduction (3.77):** The highest-rated intervention reflects teacher belief that foundational skills must precede technology use.
2. **Lesson Design (3.75):** Teachers emphasised the importance of proactive instructional planning to minimise dependency.
3. **Student Training (3.72):** Recognition that calculator proficiency requires explicit instruction, not incidental learning.

The clustering of means between 3.17 and 3.56 for other interventions suggests teachers view multiple approaches as complementary rather than mutually exclusive.

#### **4.3 Thematic Analysis of Teacher Comments**

Qualitative analysis revealed four recurrent themes in teacher comments:

##### **Theme 1: Foundational Mastery as Prerequisite**

Teachers consistently emphasised that calculators should not shortcut skill development. One teacher with 15 years' experience noted: "If students don't know their multiplication tables by heart, they will always reach for calculators. Junior secondary is when we build this foundation—calculators have no place there." Another added: "You must walk before you can run with technology."

##### **Theme 2: Policy Coherence Across Educational Levels.**

Teachers expressed frustration with current inconsistencies. "Every teacher has different rules about calculators," commented one participant. "Students get confused when what's allowed in one class is forbidden in another." Several teachers specifically mentioned needing "ministry guidelines" or "school-wide policies" to ensure consistency.

##### **Theme 3: Implementation Challenges and Resource Constraints**

While agreeing with interventions in principle, teachers noted practical barriers. Regarding student training, one teacher asked: "When will we have time to teach calculator functions? The syllabus

is already packed." Regarding standardisation, another noted equity concerns: "Not all parents can afford the same calculator model."

#### **Theme 4: Balancing Tradition and Innovation**

Teachers recognised technology's value while affirming traditional methods' importance. "Calculators are useful tools, but they should not replace thinking," stated one teacher. Another elaborated: "Students understand mathematics better when they solve problems with paper and pencil because they see the steps, not just the answer."

#### **4.4 Integration Patterns: How Interventions Relate**

Cross-analysis revealed that teachers viewed interventions as interconnected. Comments frequently linked delayed introduction with strategic restriction ("If we wait until senior secondary to introduce calculators, we can then restrict them to appropriate topics") and student training with curriculum integration ("If calculator use is in the syllabus, we'll have to teach it properly").

### **5. Discussion**

#### **5.1 A Tiered Intervention Framework**

The strong consensus across eight interventions suggests that curbing calculator abuse requires a multi-level approach. We propose a three-tiered framework (Figure 1) that addresses policy, curriculum, and training dimensions simultaneously:

##### **Tier 1: Policy and Structural Interventions**

- Delayed calculator introduction (Intervention 1)
- Whole-school calculator policies (Intervention 2)
- Standardised calculator models (Intervention 6)

##### **Tier 2: Curriculum and Pedagogical Interventions**

- Strategic restriction to specific topics (Intervention 3)
- Calculator education in curriculum (Intervention 7)
- Lesson design minimizing dependency (Intervention 4)

##### **Tier 3: Training and Capacity Interventions**

- Student training on calculator use (Intervention 5)

- Teacher professional development (implied by Interventions 4, 5, 7)
- Emphasis on traditional methods (Intervention 8)

This framework aligns with TPACK theory by addressing technological (calculator functions), pedagogical (instructional strategies), and content (mathematical topics) knowledge domains.

## 5.2 Delayed Introduction: Aligning with Cognitive Development

The strongest teacher consensus supported delaying calculator introduction until senior secondary. This aligns with Cognitive Load Theory (Sweller, 2016), which suggests that automating basic operations frees cognitive resources for higher-order thinking. When calculators shortcut this automation process, students develop fragile competence that collapses without technological support.

International evidence supports this approach: high-performing systems consistently delay calculator introduction until after foundational mastery (Maxwell, 2016). The Nigerian context may require even more cautious timing, given variability in elementary mathematics preparation.

## 5.3 Strategic Restriction vs. Blanket Prohibition

Teachers supported restricting calculators to specific topics rather than banning them entirely—a nuanced position that recognizes technology's legitimate benefits while containing its risks. This approach requires curriculum mapping to identify:

- **Calculator-prohibited topics:** Basic arithmetic, fraction fundamentals, order of operations
- **Calculator-permitted topics:** Complex computations in trigonometry, statistics, calculus
- **Calculator-required topics:** Explorations where manual computation would obscure conceptual focus

Such differentiation helps students develop metacognitive awareness of when technology enhances versus hinders learning.

## 5.4 The Critical Role of Explicit Training

The strong support for student training (mean = 3.72) highlights a gap in current practice: students often learn calculator use through trial and error rather than systematic instruction. Effective training should include:

- **Technical functions:** How to perform specific operations relevant to the curriculum

- **Strategic deployment:** When to use calculators versus mental/written methods
- **Error recognition:** How to identify when calculator results may be incorrect
- **Efficient use:** How to use calculator functions to enhance rather than replace thinking

Without such training, calculators become sources of confusion rather than clarity—a pattern observed in Paper 2's percentage results, where calculator use provided no benefit due to lack of training.

### 5.5 Policy Coherence: From Classroom to System

Teacher comments revealed frustration with inconsistent calculator policies across classrooms and schools. Developing coherent policies requires coordination at multiple levels:

**School Level:** Clear calculator use guidelines developed collaboratively by mathematics departments

**District/State Level:** Consistent policies across schools to facilitate student transitions

**National Level:** Curriculum and assessment guidelines that balance technology and tradition

Such coherence ensures that calculator integration supports rather than disrupts mathematical learning progression.

### 5.6 Addressing Equity Through Thoughtful Implementation

Standardisation (Intervention 6) and delayed introduction (Intervention 1) have important equity implications. Standardisation ensures all students access the same technological tools, preventing advantage gaps based on device sophistication. Delayed introduction prioritises foundational skills that all students can develop regardless of home technology access—particularly important in contexts with significant socioeconomic disparities.

### 5.7 Implementation Challenges and Adaptive Strategies

Teacher comments highlighted implementation barriers that must inform intervention design:

**Time Constraints:** "The syllabus is already packed" reflects genuine concern. Interventions must be efficient—perhaps integrating calculator training into existing topics rather than adding new content.

**Resource Limitations:** Standardised calculators may require school provision or subsidy programs to ensure equity.

**Teacher Capacity:** Many teachers need professional development to implement interventions effectively. This suggests a phased approach, starting with simpler interventions (delayed introduction) before progressing to more complex ones (strategic integration).

## 6. Conclusion and Recommendations

### 6.1 Conclusion

This study identifies eight teacher-endorsed interventions to curb calculator abuse in Nigerian secondary mathematics, with strong consensus across all proposals. The interventions form a coherent framework addressing policy, curriculum, and training dimensions—all essential for transforming calculators from cognitive crutches to strategic learning tools.

The findings suggest that effective calculator integration requires deliberate design rather than ad hoc adoption. When introduced prematurely or used indiscriminately, calculators undermine the very mathematical competence they were intended to enhance. When integrated strategically—after foundational mastery, with clear guidelines, and accompanied by explicit training—they can legitimately enhance mathematical exploration and problem-solving.

### 6.2 Recommendations for Stakeholders

#### **For School Administrators and Mathematics Departments:**

1. Develop and implement whole-school calculator policies specifying grade-level access and topic restrictions
2. Standardise calculator models within schools to simplify instruction and ensure equity
3. Provide time and resources for teacher collaboration on calculator-integrated lesson design

#### **For Classroom Teachers:**

1. Delay calculator introduction until senior secondary, ensuring junior secondary focuses on foundational mastery
2. Design lessons that strategically incorporate or restrict calculator use based on learning objectives
3. Provide explicit instruction on when and how to use calculators effectively

#### **For Curriculum Planners (NERDC and State Ministries):**

1. Revise mathematics curricula to specify calculator use by topic and grade level

2. Incorporate calculator education as a distinct component of mathematical competence
3. Design assessments that balance calculator and non-calculator components

**For Teacher Education Institutions:**

1. Include calculator pedagogy in pre-service mathematics teacher preparation
2. Offer professional development on strategic technology integration for in-service teachers
3. Develop resources and lesson plans demonstrating effective calculator integration

**For Education Researchers:**

1. Conduct longitudinal studies comparing different calculator integration models
2. Develop and validate assessment tools that distinguish calculator-enabled from independent performance
3. Investigate the relationship between calculator policies and equity outcomes

### **6.3 A Call for Strategic Integration**

The challenge facing Nigerian mathematics education is not whether to use calculators, but how to use them wisely. The interventions identified in this study offer a pathway from current patterns of dependency toward strategic integration that enhances learning while preserving foundational competence.

Implementation will require coordinated effort across educational levels and stakeholder groups. By beginning with teacher-endorsed, evidence-based interventions, Nigerian schools can transform calculator integration from a source of educational concern to a model of thoughtful technology use. The goal is clear: calculators should serve as tools for mathematical empowerment rather than substitutes for mathematical thinking.

As one participating teacher aptly summarized: "We need to teach students to use their heads first, then use calculators to extend what their heads can do—not the other way around." This principle should guide all efforts to curb calculator abuse and promote genuine mathematical learning.

### **References**

*Adamu, A. (2019). Mathematics education in Nigeria: Challenges and remedies. Proceedings of the National Mathematics Centre Conference. Federal Ministry of Education.*

- Australian Curriculum, Assessment and Reporting Authority. (2019). Mathematics curriculum: Foundation to Year 10. Retrieved from <https://www.australiancurriculum.edu.au/f-10-curriculum/mathematics/>*
- Bain, S. (2015). A historical analysis of attitudes toward the use of calculators in junior high and high school math classrooms in the United States since 1975 (Master's thesis). Cedarville University.*
- Ellington, A. J. (2003). A meta-analysis of the effects of calculators on students' achievement and attitude levels in precollege mathematics classes. Journal for Research in Mathematics Education, 34(5), 433-463. <https://doi.org/10.2307/30034795>*
- Kwesi-Amanyi, C., Boinde-Sigme, B., & Asiedu-Owuba, L. (2016). Teachers' perceptions of the usage of calculators in the teaching and learning of Mathematics at the Junior High School level in Ghana. European Journal of Educational and Development Psychology, 4(2), 33-52.*
- Mashekwa, M. (2021). Use of scientific calculators in the teaching and learning of school mathematics: The case of one secondary school in Lusaka, Zambia (Unpublished master's thesis). University of Zambia.*
- Maxwell, E. (2016). The mastery method: Developing mathematical competence through foundational focus. Routledge.*
- Mishra, P., & Koehler, M. J. (2006). Technological pedagogical content knowledge: A framework for teacher knowledge. Teachers College Record, 108(6), 1017-1054. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9620.2006.00684.x>*
- National Council of Teachers of Mathematics. (2015). Principles to actions: Ensuring mathematical success for all. NCTM.*
- Nguyen Van Hung. (2018). Benefits and challenges of using electronic calculators for teaching and learning mathematics in high schools. Journal of Educational Research and Practice, 8(1), 45-62.*
- Okwujiaku, C. O. (2022). Impact of the abuse of the use of calculators for mathematics education in private secondary schools in Enugu South L.G.A of Enugu State (Unpublished PGDE thesis). Enugu State University of Science and Technology.*
- Singapore Ministry of Education. (2020). Mathematics syllabus: Primary one to six. Curriculum Planning and Development Division.*

*Sunday, O. (2020). Impact of calculator usage on senior secondary school students' achievement in mathematics in Otukpo Local Government Area of Benue State. Journal of Education and Practice, 11(17), 92-104.*

*Sweller, J. (2016). Cognitive load theory and the architecture of working memory. Psychological Bulletin, 122(3), 299-319. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.122.3.299>*

#### **Author Note**

This research was conducted as part of postgraduate studies at Enugu State University of Science and Technology. The author acknowledges the participating teachers for their insights and time.

#### **Data Availability Statement**

De-identified data supporting this study's findings are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

#### **Conflict of Interest Disclosure**

The author declares no conflicts of interest regarding this research or its publication.