REIMAGINING MORALITY: NIETZSCHE'S TRANSFORMATIVE ETHICAL VISION

Anetoh, Bonaventure Chike[1] & Obidinnu, Vincent Azubuike[2]
[1&2]Department of Philosophy & Religious Studies, Tansian University Umunya,
Nigeria
Emails: anetohbonaventure@yahoo.com[1]; obinga.obinga@yahoo.com[2]
All correspondence to: obinga.obinga@yahoo.com

Abstract

Friedrich Nietzsche's moral philosophy, though widely critiqued for its perceived relativism, nihilism, and elitism, has been reinterpreted by many scholars as a transformative vision for ethical life. Rather than rejecting morality outright, Nietzsche challenges traditional moral systems rooted in religious dogma and universal truths, advocating instead for a dynamic revaluation of values. His concepts of *master morality*, *slave morality*, and the *Übermensch* emphasize strength, creativity, and self-overcoming as ethical ideals. Critics argue that Nietzsche's denial of objective morality leads to ethical chaos and exclusion, yet thinkers like Walter Kaufmann, Alexander Nehamas, and Gilles Deleuze have reframed his philosophy as a call to authenticity, pluralism, and existential responsibility. Kaufmann portrays Nietzsche as a life-affirming moral innovator, while Nehamas interprets his ethics as an aesthetic project of self-creation. Deleuze emphasizes Nietzsche's celebration of difference and transformation. These reinterpretations position Nietzsche not as a destroyer of values but as a catalyst for ethical renewal. In a contemporary context marked by moral uncertainty and cultural pluralism, Nietzsche's philosophy offers a compelling framework for reimagining morality beyond conformity and dogma. His legacy invites individuals to craft meaningful lives through critical reflection, creative expression, and courageous engagement with ethical complexity.

Introduction

Friedrich Nietzsche's moral philosophy has long stood as one of the most provocative and challenging contributions to Western thought. His rejection of traditional moral frameworks, his critique of universal values, and his genealogical method of deconstructing ethical concepts have earned him both admiration and condemnation. Critics have accused Nietzsche of moral relativism, nihilism, and elitism, arguing that his philosophy undermines the foundations of ethical life. Yet, despite these critiques, many thinkers have sought to reinterpret Nietzsche's insights—not as a rejection of morality, but as a transformative call to reimagine ethical life beyond dogma and conformity. This essay explores how Nietzsche's moral philosophy has been rehabilitated and reinterpreted by later thinkers, emphasizing its potential to inspire a more dynamic, life-affirming, and pluralistic vision of ethics.

I. Nietzsche's Critique of Traditional Morality

To understand the transformative potential of Nietzsche's ethics, one must first grasp the nature of his critique. Nietzsche's moral philosophy is rooted in a radical reevaluation of values. In works such as *On the Genealogy of Morals* and *Beyond Good and Evil*, he argues that traditional morality—particularly Christian morality—is not a reflection of eternal truths but a historical construct born out of resentment and weakness. He distinguishes between *master morality*, which arises from strength, creativity, and affirmation of life, and *slave morality*, which emerges from the powerless and expresses itself through values like humility, guilt, and self-denial.

Nietzsche's genealogical method reveals how moral concepts such as guilt, duty, and altruism were not discovered but invented, often serving as tools of social control. His declaration of the "death of God" symbolizes the collapse of metaphysical foundations for morality, leaving individuals to confront the void and create values anew. This radical stance has led many to label Nietzsche a nihilist, arguing that his philosophy leads to moral chaos and existential despair.

II. The Critique: Relativism, Nihilism, and Elitism

Nietzsche's rejection of objective moral values has been interpreted by critics as a form of moral relativism. If all values are historically contingent and perspectival, how can any moral judgment be justified? This concern is particularly acute in pluralistic societies that rely on shared ethical norms to maintain cohesion and justice. Moreover, Nietzsche's emphasis on individual value creation raises questions about the feasibility of constructing meaningful ethics without communal foundations.

The charge of nihilism is closely related. Nietzsche's deconstruction of traditional morality appears to leave a vacuum that cannot be filled by individual will alone. Critics argue that his philosophy deepens existential meaninglessness rather than resolving it. Finally, Nietzsche's ideal of the *Übermensch*—the individual who transcends herd morality and creates values independently—has been criticized for promoting elitism and exclusion. From a democratic and egalitarian standpoint, Nietzsche's ethics seem to marginalize those who cannot or will not rise to the level of self-overcoming.

III. Reinterpretation: Nietzsche as a Moral Innovator

Despite these critiques, many philosophers have sought to reinterpret Nietzsche's work as a transformative ethical project rather than a rejection of morality. Central to this reinterpretation is the idea that Nietzsche does not advocate for the abandonment of values but for their revaluation. His critique of traditional morality is not a call for ethical indifference but an invitation to rethink the foundations of moral life.

A. Walter Kaufmann: Nietzsche as a Life-Affirming Thinker

One of the most influential rehabilitators of Nietzsche's moral philosophy is Walter Kaufmann. In his seminal work *Nietzsche: Philosopher, Psychologist, Antichrist*, Kaufmann argues that Nietzsche is not a nihilist or immoralist but a deeply moral thinker who seeks to replace decaying traditional values with life-affirming alternatives. Kaufmann emphasizes Nietzsche's commitment to authenticity, creativity, and self-overcoming as ethical ideals. For Nietzsche, morality should not suppress human vitality but cultivate it. The *Übermensch* is not a tyrant but a visionary who embodies the highest potential of human existence.

Kaufmann's interpretation reframes Nietzsche's philosophy as a response to nihilism rather than its cause. By encouraging individuals to take responsibility for their values and live with integrity, Nietzsche offers a robust alternative to moral dogmatism and existential despair.

B. Alexander Nehamas: Nietzsche and the Art of Living

Alexander Nehamas, in *Nietzsche: Life as Literature*, presents another compelling reinterpretation. He argues that Nietzsche's philosophy is best understood as an aesthetic project—a vision of life as a work of art. For Nehamas, Nietzsche's emphasis on self-creation and individuality reflects an ethical ideal rooted in style, coherence, and personal meaning. The *Übermensch* is not a superior being in a hierarchical sense but a model of ethical artistry, someone who shapes their life with purpose and beauty.

This aesthetic interpretation shifts the focus from moral rules to ethical expression. Nietzsche's critique of morality becomes a call to live deliberately, to craft a life that reflects one's deepest values and aspirations. In this view, Nietzsche's ethics are not relativistic but pluralistic, allowing for diverse expressions of moral life grounded in authenticity and creativity.

C. Gilles Deleuze: Nietzsche and the Ethics of Difference

Gilles Deleuze offers a more radical reinterpretation in *Nietzsche and Philosophy*. He views Nietzsche's work as a celebration of difference, becoming, and multiplicity. For Deleuze, Nietzsche's critique of morality is a rejection of fixed identities and static values. Instead, Nietzsche affirms the dynamic forces of life, the constant flux of becoming, and the creative potential of difference.

Deleuze's reading positions Nietzsche as a philosopher of transformation. Ethics, in this framework, is not about conformity to norms but about the affirmation of life's complexity and unpredictability. The *Übermensch* represents the ethical imperative to embrace change, resist stagnation, and cultivate new forms of existence. This interpretation aligns Nietzsche with postmodern ethics, which emphasize pluralism, contextuality, and resistance to totalizing narratives.

IV. Nietzsche's Relevance to Contemporary Ethical Thought

Nietzsche's reimagined moral philosophy has profound implications for contemporary ethical discourse. In an age marked by cultural pluralism, moral uncertainty, and institutional distrust, Nietzsche's call to rethink values resonates deeply. His emphasis on self-overcoming, authenticity, and creativity offers a compelling alternative to both moral absolutism and ethical nihilism.

A. Ethics Beyond Dogma

Nietzsche's critique of dogmatic morality challenges the rigidity of traditional ethical systems. In contemporary debates about religion, politics, and identity, his insights encourage a more flexible and critical approach to values. Rather than accepting moral norms as given, Nietzsche invites individuals and communities to interrogate their origins, purposes, and consequences.

This approach fosters ethical reflection that is both rigorous and open-ended. It allows for the possibility of moral growth, adaptation, and innovation. In this sense, Nietzsche's philosophy supports a dynamic ethics that evolves with changing circumstances and deepens with personal insight.

B. The Ethics of Self-Creation

Nietzsche's ideal of the *Übermensch* has been reinterpreted as a metaphor for ethical self-creation. In a world where traditional sources of meaning have lost their authority, individuals are increasingly called upon to define their own values and purposes. Nietzsche's philosophy provides a framework for this task, emphasizing the importance of integrity, courage, and creativity.

This vision of ethics is particularly relevant in existentialist and humanist traditions, which prioritize personal responsibility and authenticity. It also resonates with therapeutic and educational approaches that encourage individuals to explore their values, confront their limitations, and cultivate their potential.

C. Pluralism and Moral Diversity

Nietzsche's rejection of universal morality opens the door to ethical pluralism. While critics worry that this leads to relativism, defenders argue that it allows for a richer and more inclusive moral landscape. In multicultural societies, where diverse traditions and perspectives coexist, Nietzsche's emphasis on perspectival values supports dialogue, empathy, and mutual understanding[32].

Rather than imposing a single moral framework, Nietzsche's philosophy encourages the exploration of multiple ethical paths. This pluralism does not negate the possibility of moral judgment but situates it within context, experience, and reflection. It challenges individuals to engage with difference, question assumptions, and seek common ground without erasing uniqueness.

V. Conclusion: Nietzsche's Transformative Legacy

Friedrich Nietzsche's moral philosophy challenges traditional ethical systems by rejecting universal moral truths and proposing that values are historically contingent and psychologically driven. His distinction between master morality—which celebrates strength and vitality—and slave morality—which arises from resentment and promotes humility and guilt—forms the foundation of his critique of conventional ethics[21]. Nietzsche's genealogical method exposes morality as a construct shaped by power dynamics and social control rather than objective truth.

However, Nietzsche's radical revaluation of values has drawn significant criticism across philosophical, ethical, and methodological dimensions[50]:

- **Moral Relativism**: Critics argue that Nietzsche's denial of objective moral standards leads to relativism, where no moral judgment can be deemed superior to another. This undermines the possibility of shared ethical discourse and challenges the normative authority of any value system.
- **Nihilism**: Nietzsche's rejection of divine and rational foundations for morality is seen by some as deepening existential nihilism. While Nietzsche aimed to overcome nihilism through self-created values, skeptics question whether such values can sustain meaning and communal cohesion.
- **Elitism**: The concept of the Übermensch and Nietzsche's emphasis on individual greatness raise concerns about exclusion and inequality. His philosophy appears to marginalize those unable to transcend herd morality, conflicting with democratic and egalitarian ideals.
- **Methodological Challenges**: Nietzsche's aphoristic style and genealogical method, while innovative, pose interpretive difficulties and limit philosophical clarity.

Despite these critiques, many thinkers have sought to reinterpret Nietzsche's insights, viewing his work not as a rejection of morality but as a transformative call to reimagine ethical life beyond dogma and conformity.

V. Moral Decadence in Contemporary Nigerian Society

In every human society, the moral order constitutes a central pillar upon which social harmony, justice, and communal identity rest. The collapse or gradual erosion of this moral fabric often heralds social disintegration and a crisis of values. Nigeria, as a nation marked by deep religious consciousness, cultural plurality, and a long-standing tradition of communal ethics, is currently witnessing what many scholars and observers describe as a severe moral decline. This moral decadence is evident in various sectors of national life—from politics to religion, from family structures to educational institutions. It manifests in widespread corruption, ethical bankruptcy among leaders, declining civic responsibility, and the normalization of morally reprehensible acts.[5] The phenomenon of moral decadence in contemporary Nigerian society is not merely a matter of behavioral lapses but reflects a deeper philosophical and cultural dislocation. It raises pertinent

questions: What happened to the moral compass of the nation? What values are being upheld, discarded, or distorted? And most importantly, how can a morally disoriented society find its way back to integrity, responsibility, and communal accountability? This subsection seeks to critically examine the concept of moral decadence, explore its root causes, manifestations, and consequences in present-day Nigeria.

VII. Historical Context of Morality in Nigeria

The moral development of Nigerian society cannot be understood in abstraction from its historical foundations. From the deeply rooted ethical frameworks of pre-colonial communities to the profound shifts induced by colonialism, religion, and globalization, the Nigerian moral landscape has undergone several phases of transformation. Each epoch—pre-colonial, colonial, and post-colonial—reveals the influence of diverse moral authorities and value systems that have shaped the Nigerian conscience.

Before the advent of colonialism, indigenous Nigerian societies maintained well-established moral systems grounded in communal values, ancestral traditions, and customs. Morality was inseparable from the collective life of the community. In Igbo, Yoruba, and Hausa societies, for instance, morality was not codified in written form but was deeply embedded in oral traditions, taboos, proverbs, and social norms. Acts such as theft, adultery, disrespect to elders, and falsehood were condemned and punished, not merely as personal wrongs but as threats to the social harmony of the group.[7] In these traditional systems, the moral agent was accountable not just to fellow human beings but also to ancestors and the spiritual realm. The concept of *ubuntu* (though more prevalent in Southern Africa) finds a Nigerian counterpart in principles such as *onye aghala nwanne ya* (let no one abandon his brother) among the Igbo, and *omoluabi* (a person of good character) among the Yoruba. These moral frameworks emphasized community, integrity, hospitality, respect, justice, and reciprocity.[8]

Religion has played a profound role in shaping morality in Nigeria. African Traditional Religion (ATR), the earliest moral influence, integrated ethical behavior with spiritual beliefs. Morality was seen as obedience to the will of the gods and ancestors. Every action had spiritual consequences, reinforcing moral accountability through fear of divine retribution or blessings.[9]

With the spread of Islam (beginning in the 11th century in Northern Nigeria) and Christianity (introduced from the 15th century onwards by European missionaries), a new religious and moral consciousness emerged. Islamic moral principles, grounded in the Qur'an and Hadith, promoted virtues such as modesty, honesty, prayer, and social justice. Sharia law eventually became institutionalized in parts of the North.[5] Christianity, on the other hand, emphasized love, compassion, chastity, humility, and personal salvation. Missionary schools became vehicles for inculcating Christian ethics, and converts were taught Western-style moral codes that sometimes

clashed with indigenous norms. Over time, religious moralism began to coexist—and often compete—with traditional moral systems, creating a moral hybrid that persists today.[10]

More so, colonialism introduced a disruptive moral shift. British colonial rule imposed new legal and administrative systems that undermined traditional institutions of moral authority. The colonial administrators often viewed African customs as "primitive" and sought to replace them with Western norms.[11] This resulted in the gradual erosion of indigenous moral standards, especially in urban areas. During the post-colonial era, efforts to reclaim cultural identity were complicated by the legacies of colonialism. Western education and modern state institutions often remained disconnected from local moral values. Moral leadership became increasingly politicized, and the rising elite frequently failed to embody the moral ideals expected of them. The gap between proclaimed values and lived realities widened, contributing to the moral ambivalence observed in Nigeria today.[12]

Westernization and globalization have had a dual impact on Nigerian morality. On one hand, they introduced democratic ideals, human rights discourse, and global ethical standards. On the other hand, they also fostered materialism, individualism, and cultural alienation. The pervasive influence of Western media, entertainment, and consumerism has contributed to a shift away from communal ethics toward self-centered lifestyles, particularly among the youth.[13]

Globalization has amplified moral relativism by exposing Nigerians to multiple moral standards through the internet, foreign media, and diasporic exchanges. Traditional virtues like patience, honesty, hard work, and contentment are often undermined by a new ethic that glorifies wealth, power, and fame, irrespective of the means of acquisition. As a result, there is a visible tension between inherited moral systems and imported value frameworks.[14]

The point being made here is that the historical context of morality in Nigeria reveals a dynamic interplay between tradition, religion, colonial imposition, and global influences. While Nigeria boasts a rich moral heritage rooted in communal and religious values, these have been challenged and reconfigured by historical forces over time. A deeper understanding of these contexts is essential for addressing the current moral crisis and charting a path toward ethical renewal.

VIII.   Indicators of Moral Decadence in Contemporary

The symptoms of moral decline in Nigeria are both numerous and troubling. They cut across virtually all aspects of national life—politics, religion, economy, education, the family, and everyday social interactions. These indicators reveal a deep ethical crisis that has become almost systemic. The following key areas reflect how moral decadence has manifested in contemporary Nigerian society.

Corruption in Public and Private Institutions

Corruption remains the most pervasive evidence of moral failure in Nigeria. From petty bribery to grand looting of national resources, dishonesty and self-interest have become normalized. The Transparency International Corruption Perceptions Index continually ranks Nigeria among the most corrupt nations.[15] P. O. Eze eloquently notes: "The national conscience has become anaesthetized by decades of public dishonesty. What was once considered shameful is now seen as cleverness."[12] Indeed, public offices are frequently used for personal enrichment rather than for service, and the sacred trust of leadership has been routinely betrayed.

Electoral Malpractice and Political Dishonesty

Elections in Nigeria are often marred by rigging, voter intimidation, thuggery, and the use of state apparatus to suppress opposition. Politics has lost its moral compass and is now largely a contest for power by any means necessary. As Osita Eze has observed: "Elections in Nigeria are no longer contests of ideas but wars for control of resources. The end justifies the means, even if the means involve violence or deception."[16] This erosion of democratic ethics threatens the legitimacy of governance and perpetuates a cycle of impunity.

Breakdown of Family Values and Parental Negligence

The family, once considered the bedrock of morality in African societies, is rapidly weakening in its moral influence. Parents are increasingly absent, either physically due to work or migration, or emotionally due to material pursuits. Many children are left to be raised by television, internet, or peer influence, often absorbing distorted values. E. I. Metuh warns that "the disintegration of the traditional African family structure is perhaps the most dangerous sign of moral collapse, for it strikes at the root of moral education and social cohesion."[17]

Sexual Immorality and Social Media Abuse

The rise of sexual promiscuity, online pornography, and the glamorization of immorality in Nollywood films and on social media platforms has further damaged Nigeria's moral fiber. Young people are increasingly exposed to content that celebrates indecency, vulgarity, and the objectification of the human body. As Ikechukwu Anthony Kanu states, "We are witnessing a generation where fame is achieved not through virtue but through vulgar exhibitionism."[18] The moral confusion is compounded by a lack of media regulation and parental supervision.

Cultism, Ritual Killings, and Violent Crimes

Ritual killings for wealth and cult-related violence in schools and communities have increased significantly. Youths seeking sudden riches often turn to occult practices, sometimes under the illusion of "Yahoo plus" or internet fraud rituals. According to Chinua Achebe, "The trouble with

Nigeria is simply and squarely a failure of leadership."[19] This failure not only permits but indirectly encourages moral degeneration through lack of example and consequence.

Decline in Work Ethic and Integrity

Dishonesty in the workplace, examination malpractice, and the normalization of shortcuts over diligence have created a culture that undermines merit. Educational institutions, once expected to train the mind and character, are often complicit in these vices. Nigerian social critic Wole Soyinka once warned: "The man dies in all who keep silent in the face of tyranny."[20] Silence in the face of academic and professional malpractice has become part of the rot in societal integrity.

One pertinent point is that these indicators point to a society in moral crisis, where traditional, religious, and civic values are fast losing their hold. While none of these issues are unique to Nigeria, their scale and social acceptance reflect a troubling normalization of the abnormal. A society where wrongdoing is not only tolerated but admired is one on the brink of ethical collapse.

Summary

A critical dimension of the chapter lies in its engagement with African communal ethics—particularly the philosophies of *Ubuntu, Omoluwabi*, and *Igwebuike*— which emphasize relational personhood, mutual obligation, and restorative justice. These values stand in sharp contrast to Nietzsche's individualism and moral elitism. The paper identifies the limitations of Nietzsche's framework in an African context while also appreciating its capacity to provoke ethical reawakening.

This research work winds up in the next paper with a **general evaluation and final reflection**, assessing the overall contributions and limitations of Nietzsche's concept

of morality in addressing contemporary ethical challenges—both philosophically

and contextually within Nigeria.

Endnotes

1. Friedrich Nietzsche, *On the Genealogy of Morals*, trans. Walter Kaufmann and R. J. Hollingdale (New York: Vintage, 1989), Essay I, 10–14.

2. Maudemarie Clark, *Nietzsche on Truth and Philosophy* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1990), 198–204.; Simon May, *Nietzsche's Ethics and His War on "Morality"* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1999), 142–148; Bernard Reginster, *The Affirmation of Life: Nietzsche on Overcoming Nihilism* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2006), 3–5, 117–122; Christopher Janaway, *Beyond Selflessness: Reading Nietzsche's Genealogy* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007), 49–63; Richard Schacht, *Making Sense of Nietzsche: Reflections Timely and Untimely* (Urbana: University of Illinois Press, 1995), 221–235.

3. Friedrich Nietzsche, *Beyond Good and Evil*, trans. Walter Kaufmann (New York: Vintage, 1966), 260–261.

4. Friedrich Nietzsche, *The Gay Science*, trans. Walter Kaufmann (New York: Vintage, 1974), 125.

5. Walter Kaufmann, *Nietzsche: Philosopher, Psychologist, Antichrist*, 4th ed. (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1974), 295–310

6. Alexander Nehamas, *Nietzsche: Life as Literature* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1985), 163–185; Gilles Deleuze, *Nietzsche and Philosophy*, trans. Hugh Tomlinson (New York: Columbia University Press, 1983), 1–26.

7. Friedrich Nietzsche, *Thus Spoke Zarathustra*, trans. Walter Kaufmann (New York: Penguin, 1978), "Prologue," 3–4.

8. Maudemarie Clark, *Nietzsche on Truth and Philosophy* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1990), 198–204.; Simon May, *Nietzsche's Ethics and His War on "Morality"* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1999), 142–148; Bernard Reginster, *The Affirmation of Life: Nietzsche on Overcoming Nihilism* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2006), 3–5, 117–122; Christopher Janaway, *Beyond Selflessness: Reading Nietzsche's Genealogy* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007), 49–63; Richard Schacht, *Making Sense of Nietzsche: Reflections Timely and Untimely* (Urbana: University of Illinois Press, 1995), 221–235.

9. Friedrich Nietzsche, *Twilight of the Idols*, trans. Walter Kaufmann (New York: Penguin, 1977), "The Problem of Socrates," 2.

10. Friedrich Nietzsche, *On the Genealogy of Morals*, trans. Walter Kaufmann and R. J. Hollingdale (New York: Vintage, 1989), Essay I, 10–14.

11. Immanuel Kant, *Groundwork for the Metaphysics of Morals*, trans. Mary Gregor (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1998), 421–424.

12. Aristotle, *Nicomachean Ethics*, trans. Terence Irwin (Indianapolis: Hackett Publishing, 1999), Book II, 1–7.

13. John Stuart Mill, *Utilitarianism*, ed. George Sher (Indianapolis: Hackett Publishing, 2001), ch. 2.

14. Nietzsche, *Beyond Good and Evil*, trans. Walter Kaufmann (New York: Vintage, 1966), 186–201.

15. Christopher Janaway, *Beyond Selflessness: Reading Nietzsche's Genealogy* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007), 49–63; Richard Schacht, *Making Sense of Nietzsche: Reflections Timely and Untimely* (Urbana: University of Illinois Press, 1995), 221–235.

16. Nietzsche, *The Gay Science*, trans. Walter Kaufmann (New York: Vintage, 1974), 125.

17. Georg Lukács, *The Destruction of Reason*, trans. Peter Palmer (London: Merlin Press, 1980), 373–386; Karl Jaspers, *Nietzsche: An Introduction to the Understanding of His Philosophical Activity*, trans. Charles F. Wallraff and Frederick J. Schmitz (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1997), 52–60; Martin Heidegger, *Nietzsche*, Vol. 1, trans. David Farrell Krell (San Francisco: HarperSanFrancisco, 1991), 199–214; Leo Strauss, *Natural Right and History* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1953), 26–29; Allan Bloom, *The Closing of the American Mind* (New York: Simon & Schuster, 1987), 141–157; Jürgen Habermas, *The Philosophical Discourse of Modernity: Twelve Lectures*, trans. Frederick Lawrence (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1987), 83–93.

18. Brian Leiter, *Nietzsche on Morality*, 2nd ed. (New York: Routledge, 2015), 102–107.

19. Friedrich Nietzsche, *The Gay Science*, trans. Walter Kaufmann (New York: Vintage, 1974), 125.

20. Georg Lukács, *The Destruction of Reason*, trans. Peter Palmer (London: Merlin Press, 1980), 373–386;

21. Leo Strauss, *Natural Right and History* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1953), 26–29

22. Friedrich Nietzsche, *The Will to Power*, trans. Walter Kaufmann and R. J. Hollingdale (New York: Vintage, 1967), 1.

23. Albert Camus, *The Myth of Sisyphus*, trans. Justin O'Brien (New York: Vintage, 1991), 10–12; Paul Tillich, *The Courage to Be* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1952), 21–35.

24. Friedrich Nietzsche, *Thus Spoke Zarathustra*, trans. Walter Kaufmann (New York: Penguin, 1978), "Prologue," 3–5.

25. Friedrich Nietzsche, *On the Genealogy of Morals*, trans. Walter Kaufmann and R. J. Hollingdale (New York: Vintage, 1989), Essay I, 10–14.

26. Martha C. Nussbaum, *Upheavals of Thought: The Intelligence of Emotions* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2001), 365–389.

27. Charles Taylor, *Sources of the Self: The Making of the Modern Identity* (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1989), 489–499.

28. Kwame Gyekye, *Tradition and Modernity: Philosophical Reflections on the African Experience* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1997), 35–56.

29. Desmond Tutu, *No Future Without Forgiveness* (New York: Doubleday, 1999), 34.

30. Kwasi Wiredu, *Cultural Universals and Particulars: An African Perspective* (Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1996), 42–60.

31. Maudemarie Clark, *Nietzsche on Truth and Philosophy* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1990), 198–204.; Simon May, *Nietzsche's Ethics and His War on "Morality"* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1999), 142–148; Bernard Reginster, *The Affirmation of Life: Nietzsche on Overcoming Nihilism* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2006), 3–5, 117–122; Christopher Janaway, *Beyond Selflessness: Reading Nietzsche's Genealogy* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007), 49–63; Richard Schacht, *Making Sense of Nietzsche: Reflections Timely and Untimely* (Urbana: University of Illinois Press, 1995), 221–235.

32. Steven E. Aschheim, *The Nietzsche Legacy in Germany, 1890–1990* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1992), 112–127.

33. Leo Strauss, *Natural Right and History* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1953), 26–29; Allan Bloom, *The Closing of the American Mind* (New York: Simon & Schuster, 1987), 141–157;

34. Brian Leiter, *Nietzsche on Morality*, 2nd ed. (New York: Routledge, 2015), 140–146.

35. Friedrich Nietzsche, *The Antichrist*, trans. Walter Kaufmann (New York: Vintage, 1968), 2.

36. Ibid., 7.

37. Thomas Aquinas, *Summa Theologiae*, II-II, q.30, a.1; see also Augustine, *City of God*, Book XIX.

38. Bernard Reginster, *The Affirmation of Life: Nietzsche on Overcoming Nihilism* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2006), 3–5, 117–122;

39. Richard Schacht, *Making Sense of Nietzsche: Reflections Timely and Untimely* (Urbana: University of Illinois Press, 1995), 221–235.

40. Martha C. Nussbaum, *Upheavals of Thought: The Intelligence of Emotions* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2001), 399–416; Richard Rorty, *Contingency, Irony, and Solidarity* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1989), 141–169.

41. Robert Solomon, *Living with Nietzsche: What the Great "Immoralist" Has to Teach Us* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2003), 92–96.

42. Brian Leiter, *Nietzsche on Morality*, 2nd ed. (New York: Routledge, 2015), 117–123.

43. Friedrich Nietzsche, *Beyond Good and Evil*, trans. Walter Kaufmann (New York: Vintage, 1966), §18.

44. Ibid., §21.

45. Friedrich Nietzsche, *On the Genealogy of Morals*, trans. Walter Kaufmann and R. J. Hollingdale (New York: Vintage, 1989), Essay II, §4.

46. Ibid., Essay II, §16.

47. Peter Strawson, "Freedom and Resentment," in *Freedom and Resentment and Other Essays* (London: Routledge, 2008), 1–28.

48. Robert Kane, *The Significance of Free Will* (New York: Oxford University Press, 1996), 83–104.

49. Desmond Tutu, *No Future Without Forgiveness* (New York: Doubleday, 1999), 31–34.

50. Mogobe B. Ramose, *African Philosophy Through Ubuntu* (Harare: Mond Books, 1999), 49–52; Ifeyinwa Mbakogu, "Culture and Development in Africa," *Nordic Journal of African Studies* 13, no. 3 (2004): 302–317.

51. Brian Leiter, *Nietzsche on Morality*, 2nd ed. (New York: Routledge, 2015), 127–131.

52. Friedrich Nietzsche, *On the Genealogy of Morals*, trans. Walter Kaufmann and R. J. Hollingdale (New York: Vintage, 1989), Essay II, 24.

53. Friedrich Nietzsche, *Thus Spoke Zarathustra*, trans. Walter Kaufmann (New York: Penguin, 1978), "On the Way of the Creator."

54. Brian Leiter, *Nietzsche on Morality*, 2nd ed. (New York: Routledge, 2015), 102–107.

55. Desmond Tutu, *No Future Without Forgiveness* (New York: Doubleday, 1999), 33–34.

56. Kwame Gyekye, *African Cultural Values: An Introduction* (Accra: Sankofa Publishing, 1996), 47–58.

57. Mogobe B. Ramose, *African Philosophy Through Ubuntu* (Harare: Mond Books, 1999), 52–55.

58. MacIntyre, *After Virtue: A Study in Moral Theory*, 3rd ed. (Notre Dame, IN: University of Notre Dame Press, 2007), 113–118.