IARJEDI
Int'l Academic Research Journals of Education and Digital inclusion
Vol 4 Issue 1, 2026: https://journals.classicmultilinks.com

HUMAN POSITIVE LAWS AND THE PROTECTION OF HUMAN DIGNITY: AN ETHICAL PERSPECTIVE

Rev. Fr. Dr. Vincent Azubike Obidinnu
Nwafor Orizu College of Education Nsugbe, Anambra State

Abstract

Human positive laws regulate social conduct, establish institutional authority, and provide mechanisms for protecting individual and collective rights. Nevertheless, the legal validity of a law does not necessarily establish its ethical legitimacy or guarantee respect for human dignity. This article examines the relationship between positive law and human dignity from an ethical and philosophical perspective, with particular attention to African philosophical traditions and the Nigerian constitutional context. Employing a qualitative, conceptual, and documentary approach, the study critically examines legal positivism, natural-law theory, human-rights principles, and African perspectives on personalized, community, and moral responsibility. It argues that positive laws should be evaluated not only according to their legal validity but also according to their respect for human dignity, promotion of justice and equality, procedural fairness and accountability, and practical consequences for human well-being. The article proposes an integrated five-dimensional framework for assessing the ethical adequacy of law. It concludes that protecting human dignity requires constitutional safeguards, accountable institutions, ethically responsible leadership, human-rights education, and critical engagement with cultural practices.

Keywords: African philosophy, human dignity, human rights, legal positivism, natural law, Nigeria, positive law.

1. Introduction

Law is one of the principal institutions through which societies organize public life, regulate conduct, resolve disputes, and establish the authority and limits of government. Positive laws—rules formally enacted, recognized, or applied through a legal system—provide standards by which people and institutions are expected to act. They can protect life and liberty, define responsibilities, coordinate collective activity, and provide remedies when rights are violated. Yet the existence of

a valid legal rule does not, by itself, establish that the rule is morally justified or that its implementation respects the dignity of those affected.

The distinction between legal validity and moral legitimacy is central to jurisprudence. Legal positivism examines law through the social rules and institutional practices that identify valid legal standards, while natural-law approaches ask how law relates to practical reason, justice, and moral goods (Finnis, 2011; Hart, 2012). Fuller (1969) further argues that the enterprise of governing through rules has its own requirements of legality, including publicity, clarity, consistency, and congruence between announced rules and official action. These approaches differ, but together they illuminate why the ethical assessment of law must address both its legal status and its effects on human beings.

Human dignity offers a significant standard for that assessment. Kant (1785/2012) argues that persons should be treated as ends in themselves and never merely as means. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights begins from recognition of the inherent dignity and equal rights of all members of the human family (United Nations General Assembly, 1948). Dignity is therefore relevant not only to individual conduct but also to the design of institutions, the exercise of public power, and the treatment of people subject to legal rules.

African philosophical traditions add important perspectives to this discussion. Thinkers such as Menkiti (1984), Gyekye (1997), Ramose (1999), and Wiredu (1996) explore relationships among personhood, community, moral responsibility, cultural interpretation, and social life. Their approaches are not identical, and African philosophy should not be represented as a single doctrine. Nevertheless, these debates help clarify how the dignity of individuals may be understood alongside communal relationships and shared responsibilities.

The Nigerian constitutional context makes the topic particularly relevant. The Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999, as amended, protects the dignity of the human person in Section 34, provides for fair hearing in Section 36, addresses specified forms of discrimination in Section 42, and provides a mechanism for enforcing fundamental rights in Section 46 (Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1999). These provisions establish an important legal foundation, although the practical protection of rights also depends on accessible remedies, accountable institutions, public understanding, and the conduct of officials.

1.1 Statement of the Problem

A central problem in the relationship between positive law and human dignity is that formal legality and ethical justification can diverge. A rule may be valid under a legal system's recognised procedures while raising questions about discrimination, disproportionate burdens, unfair

enforcement, or degrading treatment. Conversely, broad moral aspirations may be difficult to translate into consistent legal standards unless they are supported by clear rules, competent institutions, and fair procedures. The challenge is therefore to explain how law can retain its coordinating and institutional functions while remaining open to ethical evaluation. This problem also arises when legal rules interact with cultural practices, communal expectations, and individual rights. Neither tradition nor modernity should be treated as an automatic guarantee of justice. Practices and institutions require critical evaluation in light of dignity, equality, applicable constitutional protections, and the circumstances of those affected.

1.2 Aim and Objectives

The aim of this article is to examine the ethical relationship between human positive laws and the protection of human dignity, with particular attention to African philosophical perspectives and Nigeria's constitutional context.

The specific objectives are to:

- clarify the concepts of positive law, human dignity, ethical legitimacy, and human rights;
- examine philosophical approaches to the relationship between law and morality;
- analyse selected African philosophical perspectives on personhood, community, and dignity;
- consider the constitutional significance of human dignity in Nigeria; and
- propose a conceptual framework for evaluating the ethical adequacy of positive laws.

1.3 Research Questions

- What is the relationship between human positive laws and human dignity?
- Which philosophical perspectives help explain the ethical foundations of human dignity?
- How does the Nigerian constitutional context frame the legal protection of dignity?
- What ethical criteria should be considered when evaluating the adequacy of positive laws?

1.4 Methodology and Scope

This study adopts a qualitative, conceptual, and documentary approach. It critically examines selected works in legal philosophy, moral philosophy, African philosophy, human-rights theory, and constitutional law. The analysis uses these sources to clarify the relationship between legal

validity and moral legitimacy and to develop a proposed framework for evaluating the ethical adequacy of positive law. Particular attention is given to Nigerian constitutional provisions and selected African philosophical perspectives. The study is not an empirical investigation and does not claim to measure the prevalence of rights violations or the effectiveness of particular institutions.

2. Conceptual Clarification and Literature Review

2.1 Human Positive Laws

Positive law refers to law established, recognised, or applied through the institutions and practices of a particular legal system. It includes constitutional provisions, statutes, regulations, and other rules recognised by the relevant system. Positive law is important because it makes expectations more public and predictable, allocates powers and responsibilities, and provides procedures for resolving disputes. It also enables institutions to coordinate conduct across large and diverse communities.

The term 'positive' does not mean that a law is morally good. It indicates that the rule has been posited or recognised through a social and institutional process. This distinction is important because a rule's source and legal status may be different from the moral reasons for accepting or criticising it. Hart (2012) explains how legal systems identify rules through social practices, while Raz (1979) examines law's claim to authority and the conditions under which that claim can be evaluated.

2.2 Human Dignity

Human dignity is a foundational moral and legal idea concerning the worth and status owed to persons. It is associated with respect, equal moral standing, protection against degrading treatment, and recognition that people should not be reduced to instruments for the purposes of others. The concept has multiple philosophical interpretations, including accounts that emphasise rational agency, inherent worth, equality, relational personhood, or the social conditions needed for human flourishing.

Because dignity is a broad concept, it should not be used as a substitute for careful reasoning. In a legal argument, it is necessary to explain how a policy or practice affects the treatment, status, rights, or agency of the persons concerned. Kant's moral philosophy provides one influential basis for treating persons as ends in themselves (Kant, 1785/2012), while contemporary human-rights instruments make dignity a shared foundation for the recognition of rights (United Nations General Assembly, 1948).

2.3 Law, Ethics, and Morality

Law, ethics, and morality overlap, but they are not interchangeable. Law consists of norms recognised and applied through legal institutions. Morality concerns judgments about right and wrong, duties, virtues, and the treatment owed to others. Ethics often refers to systematic reflection on moral principles and their application. A legal rule may reflect a moral judgment, but legal systems also contain rules designed to coordinate conduct, establish procedures, or allocate authority.

The distinction matters because not every moral wrong is legally prohibited, and not every legal requirement is necessarily morally ideal. Ethical criticism of law should identify reasons for criticism, such as unjustified discrimination, arbitrary power, unfair procedure, or disproportionate harm. Equally, moral criticism should take account of the importance of predictable rules, due process, and institutions capable of resolving disagreement.

2.4 Human Rights and Constitutionalism

Human rights express claims and protections associated with the status of persons and peoples. Constitutionalism seeks to organise and limit public power through foundational rules, rights, institutional arrangements, and procedures. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights articulates international standards but is a declaration rather than a treaty (United Nations General Assembly, 1948). The African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights recognises individual rights, peoples' rights, and duties within a regional human-rights framework (Organization of African Unity, 1981).

Constitutional rights become practically meaningful through interpretation, enforcement, and institutional practice. In Nigeria, the Constitution provides protections and an enforcement pathway, but particular claims must be considered under the relevant constitutional provisions, applicable laws, and judicial interpretation (Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1999). The existence of a right therefore creates an important legal standard without guaranteeing that every person can easily access a remedy.

2.5 Review of Relevant Philosophical Literature

Legal positivism, represented in this article by Hart (2012) and Raz (1979), helps explain how legal validity can be identified through social sources and institutional practices. Its analytical value lies in distinguishing the question of what the law is from the question of whether it is morally justified. This distinction does not mean that positivists necessarily approve of unjust laws; rather, it makes it possible to identify a rule as law while separately evaluating its ethical merits.

Natural-law theory connects law with practical reason and moral goods. Finnis (2011) argues that law should be understood in relation to basic goods and the common good. Fuller (1969), while approaching the issue differently, emphasises principles associated with the internal morality of law, including requirements that make rule-governed governance possible. Dworkin (1977) gives attention to rights and principles in legal reasoning, while Habermas (1996) explores the relationship between law, democratic legitimacy, and public justification.

African philosophical literature contributes accounts of personhood and community that complicate purely individualistic or purely collectivist interpretations. Menkiti (1984) emphasises the social and moral dimensions of personhood. Gyekye (1997) offers a moderate communitarian account that recognises both community and individual agency. Wiredu (1996) advocates critical examination of cultural concepts and philosophical assumptions, while Ramose (1999) develops a philosophical account of Ubuntu and relational existence. These approaches differ and should be read as contributions to an ongoing debate rather than as a single African consensus.

2.6 Research Gap

The selected literature offers substantial accounts of legal validity, moral legitimacy, dignity, rights, and African personhood. The purpose of this article is not to claim that these topics have never been connected. Rather, it seeks to organise them into a practical conceptual synthesis that can be used to evaluate positive law, with particular attention to the Nigerian constitutional context. The proposed framework brings together legal validity, dignity, justice and equality, procedure and accountability, and consequences for human well-being.

3. Philosophical Foundations of Human Dignity

3.1 Dignity and the Moral Status of Persons

The ethical protection of dignity begins from the claim that persons have moral standing that cannot be reduced to their usefulness to the state, the economy, a family, or a community. This claim places limits on how people may be treated, even where institutions pursue legitimate public objectives. It requires decision-makers to consider whether a policy respects the persons affected and whether burdens are imposed in ways that deny their equal standing.

Kant's principle that persons should be treated as ends in themselves provides an influential basis for this position (Kant, 1785/2012). The principle does not settle every policy dispute, but it challenges arrangements that use people merely as instruments or deny them the respect due to persons. In legal contexts, this perspective supports protections against degrading treatment, arbitrary detention, and the denial of fair procedures.

3.2 Natural Law and Moral Evaluation

Natural-law approaches maintain, in different forms, that law cannot be adequately understood without reference to reason, human goods, or standards of justice. Finnis (2011) connects legal and political reasoning with basic goods and the common good. This approach offers a way to ask whether laws help create conditions in which persons and communities can flourish.

The relevance of natural-law reasoning is not that every contested moral question has an easy or universally accepted answer. Rather, it insists that lawmaking and legal interpretation can be evaluated through reasoned arguments about justice, common goods, and the treatment of human beings. It therefore supports ethical scrutiny of positive law while leaving room for disagreement about how moral principles should be applied.

3.3 Equality, Liberty, and Limits on Public Authority

Dignity is closely related to equality and liberty. Equality requires that people not be subjected to unjustifiable distinctions, while liberty protects important areas of choice and participation. Neither principle is unlimited in every circumstance, but restrictions require legal authority and ethical justification. A government may pursue public safety or other legitimate objectives, yet the measures it adopts should be appropriate to the objective and consistent with applicable constitutional protections.

Dworkin's (1977) emphasis on rights and principles helps explain why the interests of individuals should not be treated merely as variables in administrative calculations. Habermas (1996) also draws attention to the relationship between legitimate law, democratic procedures, and public justification. Together, these perspectives support the view that the exercise of public power should be constrained by rights and accompanied by reasons that can be examined and challenged.

3.4 The Moral Significance of Legality

Fuller (1969) argues that governing through rules depends on qualities such as publicity, clarity, consistency, relative stability, and congruence between announced rules and official conduct. These features are ethically significant because they allow people to understand legal expectations and plan their actions. Secret or unpredictable rules expose individuals to arbitrary power and weaken the reciprocal relationship between public authority and those subject to it.

Yet the requirements of legality do not alone establish that the content of every law is just. A clear, public, and consistently enforced rule may still discriminate or impose unjustifiable harm. A comprehensive evaluation of positive law must therefore consider both the integrity of legal procedures and the substantive treatment of persons.

4. Positive Law, Legal Validity, and Moral Legitimacy

4.1 The Contribution of Legal Positivism

Legal positivism helps distinguish the social and institutional criteria by which law is identified from the moral evaluation of its content. Hart (2012) explains legal systems through primary rules governing conduct and secondary rules concerning the identification, change, and application of law. This account clarifies why a legal system requires shared institutional practices and criteria for recognising valid rules.

The distinction between validity and merit is analytically useful. If every rule were classified as law only when it was morally good, it could become harder to identify and criticise unjust rules that institutions actually enforce. Recognising a rule as legally valid can be the first step in explaining why it should be changed, repealed, or challenged. Thus, legal positivism need not prevent ethical criticism; it can help make the object of criticism clear.

4.2 Natural Law and the Moral Evaluation of Law

Natural-law theory asks whether law is consistent with reasoned accounts of justice and human goods. Finnis (2011) treats law as connected to practical reason and the common good, offering standards by which legal authority and legal rules can be evaluated. This perspective places moral justification within the broader analysis of law's purpose and authority. The debate between positivist and natural-law approaches should not be simplified into a claim that one tradition cares about law while the other cares about morality. Positivism provides an account of legal identification, while natural-law theories provide accounts of moral evaluation and the relationship between law and practical reason. Their insights can be used together without erasing their theoretical differences.

4.3 Rights, Principles, and Public Justification

Dworkin (1977) argues that legal reasoning cannot always be understood solely as the application of clearly specified rules; principles and rights also matter in adjudication. Habermas (1996) examines how legal legitimacy relates to democratic procedures and public reasoning. These approaches suggest that legal systems must be assessed not only by the existence of rules but also by how institutions interpret rights, justify decisions, and respond to those affected.

Raz (1979) examines law's claim to authority and the relationship between authority and reasons for action. This helps frame a central question: under what conditions can legal authority reasonably claim compliance? For the present article, the answer requires attention to legal authorisation, constitutional limits, rights, procedural fairness, and ethical justification.

4.4 Legal Validity Is Not a Complete Moral Test

A law may be valid and yet ethically objectionable because it discriminates, fails to protect persons against degrading treatment, or gives officials excessive and unreviewable discretion. Conversely, an ethically desirable objective does not justify ignoring legal procedures or constitutional safeguards. Ethical legitimacy therefore requires a connected assessment of law's source, content, procedures, and consequences.

This article consequently rejects both legal formalism that treats validity as a complete moral answer and unstructured moralism that disregards the importance of institutions and procedures. The task is to examine how valid law can be justified, interpreted, and implemented in ways that respect human dignity.

5. African Philosophical Perspectives on Personhood and Dignity

5.1 Personhood and Community

African philosophical debates provide important resources for examining the relational dimensions of human dignity. Menkiti (1984) presents personhood as significantly shaped by social participation and moral development within a community. This account challenges the idea that persons can be understood entirely apart from their social relationships and obligations.

Gyekye (1997), however, advances a moderate communitarian perspective that recognises the importance of community while also affirming individual agency and rights. The difference between these accounts matters for legal ethics. If community is treated as the only source of moral standing, individuals may be vulnerable to pressure to conform. If individual autonomy is considered without regard to social relationships, the responsibilities and conditions that sustain shared life may be overlooked.

5.2 Ubuntu, Mutual Recognition, and Responsibility

Ramose (1999) develops an account of African philosophy through Ubuntu, often associated with human interdependence, mutual recognition, and relational existence. In ethical and legal discussion, this perspective can encourage institutions to consider the effects of their decisions on relationships, communities, and opportunities for reconciliation.

Ubuntu should not be treated as a single formula that resolves every legal dispute. Its application requires critical reasoning about the rights of individuals, the responsibilities of communities, and the risks of coercion or exclusion. Community-oriented values can support solidarity, but they

cannot automatically justify practices that violate applicable constitutional protections or deny individuals meaningful avenues to challenge injustice.

5.3 Cultural Criticism and Philosophical Pluralism

Wiredu (1996) emphasises critical reflection on cultural concepts and the need to examine the relationship between philosophical ideas and particular social contexts. This approach is relevant to legal reform because cultural familiarity is not, by itself, proof of ethical legitimacy. At the same time, practices should not be dismissed merely because they differ from dominant external models.

A careful approach asks whether a practice can be justified through reasons that respect dignity, equality, and the rights of those affected. It also recognises that traditions are internally diverse and can change through dialogue and criticism. African philosophy is therefore most useful to this article when it is treated as a living field of debate rather than a fixed set of conclusions.

5.4 Balancing Individual Rights and Communal Obligations

A relational understanding of dignity highlights that persons live within families, communities, and institutions. These relationships generate responsibilities and can support belonging, care, and cooperation. However, the protection of community cannot be used as a blanket reason to silence dissent or deny individual rights.

A defensible approach recognises that individual and communal interests can support one another, while also acknowledging that conflicts sometimes arise. In such cases, legal and ethical analysis should identify the rights involved, the legitimacy of the claimed communal interest, the nature of the burden imposed, and the availability of less harmful alternatives. This approach respects African philosophical contributions without assuming uniformity or allowing communitarian language to obscure injustice.

6. Human Dignity, Human Rights, and Ethical Limits of Law

6.1 Dignity as a Foundation for Rights

Human dignity is closely associated with the recognition of fundamental rights. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights links dignity with freedom, equality, and the rights of all members of the human family (United Nations General Assembly, 1948). The Declaration is not itself a treaty, but it has become a major reference point in the development and interpretation of international human-rights principles.

Donnelly (2013) explains the place of human rights in contemporary theory and practice. Rights provide standards for evaluating how persons are treated and for identifying areas in which public

power should be limited. They also establish a language through which individuals and groups can challenge unjust treatment.

6.2 Limits on State Power

Public authorities need power to carry out legitimate functions, including maintaining public order and protecting safety. Yet the existence of a public objective does not justify every measure used to pursue it. Restrictions on rights must be assessed under the applicable legal and constitutional standards, with attention to lawful authority, necessity, fairness, and the burdens imposed.

A dignity-based approach requires public institutions to avoid treating people as disposable instruments of policy. Where a rule affects liberty, equality, privacy, or other protected interests, decision-makers should be able to explain the purpose of the measure and the reasons why the chosen approach is legally and ethically defensible.

6.3 Equality and Non-Discrimination

Equality is essential to dignity because unjustifiable discrimination communicates that some persons have lower status or are less entitled to protection. Legal systems may sometimes distinguish between categories of persons for legitimate reasons, but distinctions require careful justification under the relevant legal framework.

An ethical evaluation should consider both the wording of a rule and the way it operates in practice. A rule framed in general terms may have unequal effects, while a measure intended to protect a vulnerable group may require careful design to avoid unintended exclusion. The analysis should be based on the relevant evidence and legal standards rather than assumptions about groups or institutions.

6.4 Rights, Duties, and the African Charter

The African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights recognises individual rights alongside peoples' rights and duties (Organization of African Unity, 1981). This structure provides a regional context for considering the relationship between personal protection, collective life, and responsibility.

Duties should not be interpreted as automatically cancelling rights. Their meaning and application must be considered in context and in relation to the Charter and relevant domestic law. Similarly, the Charter should not be described as automatically overriding the Nigerian Constitution in every conflict. The relationship between international and domestic legal norms requires careful legal analysis.

6.5 From Formal Rights to Effective Protection

The existence of a right in a legal document does not guarantee that every person can exercise it effectively. People may face practical obstacles such as limited information, procedural complexity, cost, distance, or difficulty obtaining appropriate assistance. This article does not claim to measure the extent of such obstacles in Nigeria; it identifies them as relevant issues for institutional and empirical examination.

The ethical implication is that rights protection requires both formal guarantees and institutions capable of making remedies accessible. Education, legal assistance, fair procedures, and accountable administration can help bridge the gap between constitutional recognition and practical protection.

7. Positive Law and the Protection of Human Dignity in Nigeria

7.1 Constitutional Foundation

The Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999, as amended, provides the primary constitutional context for this article. Section 34 protects respect for the dignity of the human person. Section 36 provides for fair hearing, Section 42 addresses specified forms of discrimination, and Section 46 establishes a mechanism for seeking redress for alleged violations of fundamental rights (Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1999). These provisions demonstrate that dignity and related protections have a formal place in the Nigerian constitutional order.

The legal meaning and application of each provision must be assessed in its full constitutional context. The existence of a constitutional guarantee does not mean that every claim will succeed or that all questions of dignity are resolved by one section. Instead, the Constitution provides standards and procedures through which particular claims can be argued and determined.

7.2 Constitutional Rights and State Responsibility

Constitutional rights impose responsibilities on public institutions to act within the law and to respect applicable protections. Officials should not treat legal authority as unlimited permission to act. Their decisions must be supported by the relevant legal framework and remain subject to applicable procedures and review.

Section 36 is especially relevant to procedural fairness. Decisions that affect legal rights or obligations should be considered in accordance with the fair-hearing protections applicable to the particular matter. Fair procedure is not a technical luxury; it helps prevent arbitrary decisions and gives affected persons a meaningful opportunity to present their case.

7.3 Customary Law, Cultural Practices, and Dignity

Nigeria's social and legal life includes diverse customary practices and community institutions. These can play important roles in identity, social organisation, and dispute resolution. Their ethical and legal status, however, should not be assumed solely from their historical or cultural significance.

Gyekye (1997) and Wiredu (1996) offer resources for critically examining cultural values and the relationship between communal life and individual agency. Applied to legal practice, this means that customary norms should be considered carefully in their contexts while remaining subject to applicable constitutional protections and other relevant legal standards. A practice should neither be endorsed merely because it is traditional nor condemned without adequate understanding of its context and effects.

7.4 Gender Equality and Protection Against Discrimination

The relationship between dignity and equality is particularly important where legal rules or practices affect people's opportunities, status, or access to remedies. Section 42 of the Nigerian Constitution addresses specified forms of discrimination, but the precise scope of a claim depends on the constitutional text and relevant legal interpretation (Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1999).

An ethical evaluation should examine whether a rule or practice imposes unjustifiable disadvantages, whether affected persons can challenge it, and whether the stated objective could be achieved through a less discriminatory approach. This analysis should be grounded in the relevant legal and factual context rather than unsupported generalisations about Nigerian society.

7.5 Access to Justice and Enforcement

Section 46 provides a constitutional mechanism for the enforcement of fundamental rights. Effective enforcement, however, also depends on whether people know about available remedies and can access procedures that are fair and appropriate. Legal-aid services, accessible public information, competent representation, and clear complaint mechanisms may support the practical exercise of rights.

Not every dispute requires the same procedure, and alternative dispute resolution should not be used to pressure vulnerable people into abandoning legal protections. The appropriate route depends on the nature of the dispute, the rights involved, and the applicable law.

7.6 Accountability and Public Power

Public accountability helps ensure that legal rules are not undermined by arbitrary or inconsistent implementation. Public institutions should maintain appropriate records, provide reasons for decisions where required, and operate under relevant review and oversight arrangements. These practices make it easier to identify errors, challenge abuses, and maintain congruence between announced rules and official conduct.

Fuller's (1969) analysis of legality is relevant because the rule of law depends in part on the relationship between public rules and how officials actually behave. Accountability should therefore be understood as both a response to misconduct and a preventive institutional practice.

7.7 Legal Education and Civic Awareness

Education can help citizens understand constitutional protections and the procedures through which rights may be asserted. Dewey (1916) links education with democratic participation and reflective judgement. In this context, civic and human-rights education should support the ability to distinguish lawful authority from arbitrary power and to resolve disagreements through informed, constructive participation.

Legal education should also engage with African philosophical perspectives on personhood and community. Such engagement can help learners consider how social responsibility, individual rights, cultural values, and constitutional protections interact, without assuming that every African tradition has the same position on these questions.

7.8 Conclusion

The Nigerian constitutional context illustrates both the importance and the limits of positive law. Constitutional provisions provide an essential foundation for dignity, fair hearing, equality, and remedies, but their practical significance depends on legal interpretation, institutional conduct, access to justice, and public understanding. The ethical protection of dignity therefore requires attention to formal law and the conditions under which people can meaningfully rely on it.

8. Ethical Framework for Evaluating Human Positive Laws

8.1 Introduction

The preceding analysis suggests that no single consideration provides a complete assessment of the ethical adequacy of positive law. Legal validity is important, but so are dignity, justice, fair procedure, accountability, and the consequences of legal rules. This section proposes a five-dimensional framework that organises these considerations into a practical conceptual synthesis.

8.2 Dimension One: Legal Validity and Constitutional Compatibility

The first dimension asks whether the rule has been established by a legally authorised institution, follows the relevant procedures, and is compatible with applicable constitutional requirements. This dimension respects the importance of legality and institutional competence. It also prevents ethical evaluation from ignoring the legal order within which a rule operates.

8.3 Dimension Two: Respect for Human Dignity

The second dimension asks whether a rule recognises the moral status of the people affected. Relevant questions include whether it exposes persons to degrading treatment, treats them merely as instruments, denies their agency without adequate justification, or subjects them to arbitrary control. Kant's account of persons as ends in themselves provides one philosophical basis for this inquiry (Kant, 1785/2012).

8.4 Dimension Three: Justice and Equality

The third dimension examines how a rule distributes benefits, burdens, opportunities, and risks. It asks whether distinctions between people have a defensible basis, whether vulnerable persons face unjustifiable burdens, and whether the measure is consistent with applicable equality protections. The analysis should consider both the formal text of the rule and its reasonably foreseeable operation.

8.5 Dimension Four: Procedural Fairness and Accountability

The fourth dimension considers how the rule is made, interpreted, and enforced. It includes transparency, clarity, fair hearing where applicable, reasoned decisions, appropriate review, and accountability for misuse of authority. Fuller (1969) highlights the significance of legality, while Dworkin (1977) and Habermas (1996) provide further resources for considering rights, principles, and public justification.

8.6 Dimension Five: Practical Consequences and Human Well-Being

The fifth dimension asks what the rule is likely to do in practice. Relevant consequences may include effects on safety, access to opportunities, participation, social relationships, and the conditions needed for meaningful human life. Consequences should not be the only criterion: a policy that produces aggregate benefits may still violate important rights. Rather, attention to well-being complements the assessment of dignity, justice, and procedure.

8.7 Relationship Among the Five Dimensions

The five dimensions should be considered together. A rule may be valid but unjust; it may pursue a legitimate objective through unfair procedures; or it may offer a public benefit while imposing disproportionate burdens on particular people. Conversely, a policy with a worthy aim may fail if it lacks legal authority or is implemented arbitrarily. The framework encourages the evaluator to identify these interactions rather than treating one dimension as a substitute for all others.

8.8 Illustrative Applications

Consider a hypothetical public-safety regulation. The evaluator should first determine whether it is legally authorised and constitutionally compatible. The analysis should then ask whether it respects dignity, applies fairly, provides procedures for challenging mistakes, and uses measures reasonably connected to the safety objective. A legitimate public purpose does not automatically justify every restriction.

Consider a hypothetical education-access rule. The evaluator should examine its legal basis, whether it unjustifiably excludes particular learners, how decisions are made and reviewed, and what consequences the rule has for access to educational opportunity. The purpose of the example is not to make an empirical claim about a specific policy but to show how the framework can organise relevant questions.

Finally, consider a hypothetical customary practice that affects an individual's rights. The evaluator should understand the practice's context, identify the applicable legal standards, examine its effects on dignity and equality, and consider whether dialogue or reform can preserve defensible communal values while protecting the rights of affected persons. Cultural familiarity alone is not a sufficient ethical justification.

8.9 Practical Procedure for Applying the Framework

A practical application may proceed through the following stages: identify the rule and the people affected; establish its legal source and applicable constitutional requirements; specify the dignity and rights interests involved; examine equality and the distribution of burdens; assess the fairness of procedures and the availability of review; consider reasonably foreseeable consequences; and explain the reasons for the final evaluation. This process is intended to structure reasoning, not to replace legal interpretation or expert judgement.

8.10 Theoretical Contribution and Limitations

The framework's contribution is to bring together considerations that are often analysed separately. It draws on legal positivism for the identification of valid law, moral and natural-law theories for ethical evaluation, human-rights principles for standards of protection, African philosophy for relational perspectives on personhood, and constitutionalism for institutional limits on public power. It should be treated as a proposed conceptual synthesis, not as an empirically validated instrument or a claim of wholly unprecedented theory.

Its application may involve difficult trade-offs and reasonable disagreement. The framework does not provide a mathematical score or guarantee a single answer to every legal problem. Further theoretical, comparative, and empirical research is needed to assess its usefulness in particular institutional settings.

8.11 Conclusion

The proposed framework offers a structured way to evaluate positive laws by examining legal validity, dignity, justice and equality, procedure and accountability, and practical consequences. Its purpose is to support transparent, reasoned, and context-sensitive ethical analysis while recognising the importance of applicable law and constitutional standards.

9. Discussion of Findings and Theoretical Implications

9.1 Legal Validity and Ethical Legitimacy

The analysis reinforces the distinction between legal validity and ethical legitimacy. Hart (2012) helps explain how rules are identified within a legal system, while Fuller (1969) draws attention to the conditions that make rule-governed authority possible. Neither formal validity nor procedural legality alone resolves every substantive question of justice. A law may be clearly expressed and consistently enforced yet still raise concerns about discrimination or disproportionate harm.

The implication is that ethical criticism should not confuse the question of whether a rule is law with the question of whether it should be accepted, defended, or retained. The distinction enables more precise analysis and helps explain why legal systems require procedures for interpretation, review, amendment, and repeal.

9.2 Human Dignity as a Normative Foundation

Human dignity provides a standard for evaluating whether people are treated as persons with moral standing rather than merely as instruments of institutional objectives. Kant's moral philosophy and

the Universal Declaration of Human Rights offer influential foundations for this position (Kant, 1785/2012; United Nations General Assembly, 1948). In the Nigerian context, Section 34 gives dignity explicit constitutional significance, while related provisions address fair hearing and specified forms of discrimination (Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1999).

Formal recognition is not the same as effective protection. The practical value of rights depends on the availability of appropriate procedures, institutional accountability, public awareness, and the ability of affected persons to seek remedies. Dignity should therefore inform both the content of legal rules and the way they are implemented.

9.3 African Philosophy and Relational Dignity

African philosophical perspectives show that dignity can be discussed in relation to individual moral standing and the social relationships through which human life develops. Menkiti (1984) and Gyekye (1997) offer different accounts of personhood and community, while Ramose (1999) and Wiredu (1996) provide resources for considering relational existence and critical cultural reflection. These approaches should not be treated as uniform or beyond criticism.

A defensible legal order should recognise the value of communal responsibility without allowing collective interests to become a blanket justification for denying individual rights. This balance requires careful attention to context, applicable law, and the reasons offered for particular practices.

9.4 Rights and Human Well-Being

Human-rights protection and human well-being are closely related but should not be collapsed into one standard. A rule's consequences matter, yet beneficial consequences do not automatically justify violations of rights. The African Charter's recognition of rights and duties provides a regional framework for considering individuals and communities together, while preserving the need for careful legal interpretation (Organization of African Unity, 1981).

The article therefore recommends a plural assessment: dignity and rights constrain how public goals may be pursued, while attention to consequences helps evaluate whether laws achieve their stated aims fairly and effectively.

9.5 Education and Ethical Citizenship

Education can support the protection of dignity by helping people understand rights, responsibilities, and lawful procedures for challenging decisions. Dewey (1916) links education with democratic participation and reflective judgement. Human-rights education should cultivate

respect for the rights of others, critical engagement with public authority, and awareness of the institutions that provide remedies.

In African educational contexts, these aims can be pursued through critical engagement with African philosophy and constitutional principles. The objective is not to present culture and rights as necessarily opposed, but to develop the capacity to assess practices and institutions through reasoned argument.

9.6 Implications of the Five-Dimensional Framework

The five-dimensional framework provides an organised method for considering legal validity, dignity, justice and equality, procedure and accountability, and human well-being. Its value lies in bringing together questions that can otherwise be separated in legal and policy discussions. It may help decision-makers identify concerns that would be missed by an exclusive focus on formal legality or aggregate policy outcomes.

The framework remains a conceptual proposal. Its effectiveness should be examined through case studies, comparative legal analysis, and empirical research. Its application should also remain sensitive to the relevant constitutional provisions and the specific rights involved.

9.7 Responses to the Research Questions

The first research question is answered by distinguishing positive law as institutionally recognised rules from human dignity as a moral and legal standard concerning the worth and treatment of persons. The second is addressed through a dialogue among legal positivism, natural-law theory, human-rights principles, and selected African philosophical traditions. The third is examined through Nigeria's constitutional provisions and the institutions and procedures relevant to their practical protection. The fourth is answered by proposing a framework that considers five connected dimensions of ethical adequacy.

Taken together, these responses support the central thesis: the ethical evaluation of law requires legal analysis and moral judgement. Formal validity alone is insufficient, while ethical aspirations must also be translated into fair procedures and accountable institutions.

10. Recommendations

10.1 Dignity-Sensitive Lawmaking

Legislatures should consider human dignity, constitutional rights, equality, and foreseeable social consequences when developing or amending laws. Where appropriate, consultation with affected communities, professional bodies, civil society, and relevant experts can help identify risks and

unintended burdens. Public support for a measure should not be treated as sufficient justification for violating applicable rights.

10.2 Constitutional Literacy and Human-Rights Education

Educational institutions, public agencies, professional associations, and civil society organisations should support accessible education on constitutional rights and lawful remedies. Programmes should explain relevant provisions, including Sections 34, 36, 42, and 46 of the Nigerian Constitution, while making clear that the scope of each provision depends on its legal wording and interpretation (Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1999). Following Dewey (1916), such education should encourage reflective judgement and responsible participation rather than passive memorisation.

10.3 Access to Justice

Relevant institutions should consider measures that reduce unnecessary barriers to legal remedies, including accessible public information, appropriate legal-aid services, clear complaint procedures, and fair dispute-resolution options. Alternative dispute resolution should be used only where suitable and should not deprive people of applicable rights or expose vulnerable parties to coercion.

10.4 Accountability in Public Institutions

Public institutions should operate through transparent and reviewable procedures, maintain appropriate records, and provide reasons for decisions where required. Appropriate oversight and review mechanisms can reduce arbitrary decision-making and help maintain consistency between announced rules and official conduct (Fuller, 1969).

10.5 Critical Engagement with Customary Practices

Customary practices should be examined in their social and historical contexts while remaining open to critical evaluation under applicable law and defensible principles of dignity and equality. Community dialogue should include people directly affected by the practices under consideration. The aim should be to preserve ethically defensible cultural values while addressing practices that cannot be justified under relevant legal standards.

10.6 African Philosophy in Legal and Ethical Education

Universities and professional training institutions should engage critically with African philosophical scholarship in jurisprudence, ethics, human rights, and civic education. Menkiti (1984), Gyekye (1997), Ramose (1999), and Wiredu (1996) can support discussion of personhood,

community, responsibility, and cultural criticism. Their differences should be acknowledged rather than presented as a single African worldview.

10.7 Human-Dignity Assessment of Public Policies

Where appropriate, public institutions should assess policies against the five dimensions proposed in this article: legal authority and constitutional compatibility; respect for dignity; justice and equality; procedural fairness and accountability; and practical consequences for well-being. The framework should guide reasoned analysis rather than function as a mechanical checklist. Decision-makers should identify relevant rights, explain the purpose of restrictions, and consider less restrictive alternatives where applicable.

10.8 Ethical Leadership and Professional Responsibility

Professional training should address dignity, impartiality, fairness, honesty, and responsible use of discretion. Codes of conduct should be supported by education, credible complaint mechanisms, fair investigation, and proportionate responses to misconduct. Ethical responsibility should be treated as part of professional competence rather than as an optional addition.

10.9 Further Research

Researchers should investigate how dignity-related rights operate in particular institutions and communities, how citizens understand constitutional protections, and what factors affect access to remedies. Comparative studies across African jurisdictions and further testing of the proposed framework may clarify its strengths, limitations, and potential applications.

11. Limitations and Directions for Further Research

11.1 Limitations

This article is conceptual and documentary rather than empirical. It does not report interviews, surveys, statistical analyses, or systematic observations of legal institutions. It therefore does not establish the prevalence of particular rights violations or measure the effectiveness of specific institutions in Nigeria.

The philosophical discussion draws on selected Western and African thinkers and cannot represent the full diversity of legal and philosophical traditions. African philosophical traditions are internally diverse, and the article uses selected scholarship to illuminate debates relevant to dignity, community, and personhood rather than to provide a comprehensive account of every African society.

The Nigerian constitutional discussion identifies relevant provisions but does not comprehensively analyse every statute, judicial decision, administrative practice, or customary legal system. Finally, the five-dimensional framework is a proposed conceptual synthesis that has not been empirically validated. Its practical value and comparative usefulness require further investigation.

11.2 Directions for Further Research

Future research could examine how constitutional protections relating to dignity, fair hearing, and equality operate in selected institutions. Depending on the question, researchers might use interviews, document analysis, surveys, or case studies. Such research should avoid generalising beyond the evidence collected.

Comparative research could examine constitutional language, judicial reasoning, and the relationship between customary law and constitutional protections across selected African jurisdictions. Philosophical research could further investigate disagreements about personhood and individual rights, including the differences between Menkiti's (1984) and Gyekye's (1997) accounts.

Educational research could investigate how constitutional and human-rights education affects learners' knowledge of rights and their understanding of lawful remedies. Further work could also apply the five-dimensional framework to selected legislation, judicial decisions, or administrative policies to assess whether it supports clearer ethical reasoning and more transparent decision-making.

11.3 Conclusion

The study provides a conceptual basis for examining the relationship between positive law and human dignity. Its findings should be understood in light of its documentary scope. Further empirical, comparative, and philosophical research can test, challenge, and refine the arguments and the proposed framework.

12. Conclusion

This article has examined the relationship between human positive laws and the protection of human dignity from an ethical and philosophical perspective, with particular attention to African philosophical traditions and the Nigerian constitutional context. Its central argument is that positive laws are indispensable to social organisation, institutional governance, and the protection of rights, but formal legal validity alone is insufficient to establish ethical legitimacy.

The analysis of legal positivism, natural-law theory, and moral philosophy demonstrates the importance of distinguishing the identification of valid law from the evaluation of its moral justification. Hart (2012) clarifies the significance of legal rules and institutional practices in determining what counts as law. Fuller (1969) highlights requirements associated with governing through rules, while Finnis (2011) connects law with practical reason and the common good. These approaches help explain why legal validity, procedural legality, and ethical legitimacy should be examined in relation to one another without being treated as identical.

Human dignity provides an important moral standard for evaluating public power. Kant's account of persons as ends in themselves offers a philosophical basis for rejecting treatment that reduces human beings to mere instruments (Kant, 1785/2012). The Universal Declaration of Human Rights affirms the inherent dignity and equal rights of all members of the human family (United Nations General Assembly, 1948). In Nigeria, constitutional provisions relating to dignity, fair hearing, specified forms of discrimination, and enforcement of fundamental rights provide an important legal foundation (Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1999).

African philosophical perspectives deepen the discussion by highlighting relationships among personhood, community, responsibility, and social life. The work of Menkiti (1984), Gyekye (1997), Ramose (1999), and Wiredu (1996) shows that African philosophy offers diverse resources for examining relational existence and communal obligations. These perspectives should be engaged critically and should not be treated as a uniform doctrine or as a reason to disregard individual rights.

The article proposes a five-dimensional framework for evaluating the ethical adequacy of positive law: legal validity and constitutional compatibility; respect for human dignity; justice and equality; procedural fairness and accountability; and practical consequences for human well-being. The framework is a conceptual synthesis intended to organise relevant questions, not an empirically validated instrument or a claim that no comparable approach exists. Further research is required to examine its application in particular legal and institutional settings.

The article recommends dignity-sensitive lawmaking, accessible justice, constitutional literacy, accountable public institutions, critical engagement with customary practices, and the inclusion of African philosophical scholarship in legal and ethical education. These measures may help connect formal legal protections with their practical implementation.

Ultimately, the relationship between positive law and human dignity should not be framed as a choice between legal order and moral responsibility. A defensible legal system requires both. Positive law provides rules and institutions through which social life can be organised, while ethical principles provide standards for evaluating how those rules affect human beings. The

ultimate ethical purpose of positive law should therefore be to organise social life in ways that recognise the worth of every person, protect fundamental rights, promote justice, and establish accountable institutions through which human beings can live together with dignity.

References

Dewey, J. (1916). Democracy and education: An introduction to the philosophy of education. Macmillan.

Donnelly, J. (2013). Universal human rights in theory and practice (3rd ed.). Cornell University Press.

Dworkin, R. (1977). Taking rights seriously. Harvard University Press.

Federal Republic of Nigeria. (1999). Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999 (as amended).

Finnis, J. (2011). Natural law and natural rights (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.

Fuller, L. L. (1969). The morality of law (Rev. ed.). Yale University Press.

Gyekye, K. (1997). Tradition and modernity: Philosophical reflections on the African experience. Oxford University Press.

Habermas, J. (1996). Between facts and norms: Contributions to a discourse theory of law and democracy (W. Rehg, Trans.). MIT Press.

Hart, H. L. A. (2012). The concept of law (3rd ed., L. Green, Introduction and notes; postscript edited by P. A. Bulloch & J. Raz). Oxford University Press.

Kant, I. (2012). Groundwork of the metaphysics of morals (M. Gregor & J. Timmermann, Eds. & Trans.; 2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press. (Original work published 1785)

Menkiti, I. A. (1984). Person and community in African traditional thought. In R. A. Wright (Ed.), African philosophy: An introduction (3rd ed., pp. 171–181). University Press of America.

Organization of African Unity. (1981). African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights. https://achpr.au.int/index.php/en/charter/african-charter-human-and-peoples-rights

Ramose, M. B. (1999). African philosophy through Ubuntu. Mond Books.

Raz, J. (1979). The authority of law: Essays on law and morality. Oxford University Press.

United Nations General Assembly. (1948). Universal Declaration of Human Rights (General Assembly Resolution 217 A). United Nations.

Wiredu, K. (1996). Cultural universals and particulars: An African perspective. Indiana University Press